Stereoselective Synthesis, Characterization, and Antibacterial Activities of Novel Isosteviol Derivatives with D-Ring Modification

by Ya Wu^a)^b), Cong-Jun Liu^a), Xu Liu^a), Gui-Fu Dai^a), Jin-Yu Du^a), and Jing-Chao Tao^{*a})

a) Department of Chemistry, New Drug Research & Development Center, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, P. R. China (phone/fax: +86-371-67767200; e-mail: jctao@zzu.edu.cn)

b) School of Pharmacy, Henan College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Zhengzhou 450008, P. R. China

Considerable interests have been attracted by isosteviol and its derivatives because of their large variety of bioactivities. In this project, a series of novel 15- and 16-substituted isosteviol derivatives were stereoselectively prepared by means of functional interconversions in ring D of the tetracyclic diterpene isosteviol. All compounds synthesized were characterized by analysis of NMR, IR, HR-MS data, and the configurations of 33 and 37 were confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The antibacterial activities in vitro of these isosteviol derivatives were investigated; the synthetic compounds were more active against Gram-positive than Gram-negative bacteria, and were especially active against Bacillus subtilis. Among them, compound 27 ($MIC = 1.56 \mu g/ml$) exhibited the highest antibacterial activity and thus may be exploitable as a lead compound for the development of potent antibacterial agents.

Introduction. – Bacterial infections such as food poisoning, rheumatism, salmonellosis, and diarrhea are caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-positive and Gramnegative pathogens. Sixty million people are infected, and 20,000 deaths are recorded every year caused by Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, Salmonella typhimurium, and Escherichia coli. Amoxicillin, norfloxacin, and ciprofloxacin are the principal drugs of choice for treatment of bacterial infections, since they are effective against extraintestinal and intestinal wall infection, but these are associated with several side-effects such as nausea, metallic taste, dizziness, hypertension, *etc.* [1][2]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to discover new compounds with potent antibacterial activities for developing new drugs. Moreover, the importance of heterocyclic compounds has been recognized in this field, and it is well-known that a number of polycyclic compounds containing heterocycle fragments exhibited a wide variety of biological activities $[3-9]$.

Isosteviol $(=ent-16-oxobeyeran-19-ocicii; 1)$ is a tetracyclic diterpenoid with a beyerane skeleton, obtained by acid hydrolysis of stevioside [10] [11]. In recent years, isosteviol derivatives have attracted increasing attention because of their remarkably broad spectrum of biological activities including anti-inflammatory [12], glucocorticoid agonist [13], antihypertension [14], antitumor [15], antiproliferation [16], and inhibition of ent-kaurene synthase [17]. Especially, *Lin et al.* reported that isosteviol amide dimers had favorable antibacterial effects and cytotoxicity [18], which prompted us to study new isosteviol derivatives with hydrophilic functional groups to develop novel stronger antibacterial agents for therapeutic use. Some novel compounds containing OH and CH2OH group, and heteroatom-containing frameworks fused with

^{© 2010} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

isosteviol structure have been prepared in our laboratory [19]. So, hydrophilic functional groups attached to ring D in isosteviol skeleton may also provide some possibilities for the construction of heterocycle in the isosteviol precursor.

In view of these reports and in continuation of our previous work [20], a series of novel compounds containing indole, pyrazoline, and isoxazolidine rings fused with the isosteviol framework have been designed and synthesized. The in vitro antibacterial activities of these new isosteviol derivatives were investigated, which would aid in designing and synthesizing novel stronger antibacterial agents.

Results and Discussion. – All the isosteviol derivatives mentioned below were synthesized by different methods. Initial efforts were focused on structural modifications at $C(15)$ and $C(16)$ of isosteviol (1) . The synthetic routes are outlined in Scheme 1. Treatment of isosteviol (1), obtained by acid hydrolysis of stevioside, with EtBr and KOH in DMSO afforded the corresponding ethyl ester 2 in 96% yield [21]. In addition, the Fischer indole reaction has remained an extremely important and useful method for the synthesis of a variety of indole intermediates and biologically active compounds $[22-24]$; so, indole isosteviol derivatives 5 and 6 were obtained from 1 and 2, respectively, using AcOH saturated with gaseous HCl as catalyst via Fischer reaction in good yields (80 and 91%, resp.) [19] [25].

Compounds 7 and 8 were stereoselectively synthesized via a one-pot Tollens' (aldol-Cannizzarro) reaction in good yield (95 and 90%, resp.). The products were characterized by HR-MS, IR, and NMR, and the configuration of compound 7 was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis [26]. Compounds 9 and 10 were obtained in good yields by reduction of 1 and 2, respectively, with N aBH₄ in EtOH at 0° [27]. The configuration of compound 10 was established by X-ray crystallographic analysis [20].

Treatment of 10 with acrylic acid (= prop-2-enoic acid) in CH_2Cl_2 in the presence of DCC and DMAP furnished the main product 11 [19] and by-product 12. In addition, 12 could be also obtained *via Michael* addition from 11 with acrylic acid. So, compound 11 and 12 could be selectively synthesized in CH_2Cl_2 from compound 10 in good yield (85 and 75%, resp.) by controlling the amount of acrylic acid.

Compounds 13 and 14 were selectively synthesized in the presence of Na_2CO_3 from compound 8 by controlling the amount of nicotinoyl chloride in good yield (81 and 88%, resp.), as shown in Scheme 2. In addition, compound 15 was obtained by selective oxidation of 8 with PCC in CH₂Cl₂. Meanwhile, treatment of 1,3-diol 8 with HNO₃/ H_2SO_4 in CH₂Cl₂ gave the corresponding dinitro derivative 16 in 80% yield. Treatment of compound 8 with 4-methylphenylsulfonyl chloride (TsCl) in pyridine furnished compound 17 (75%), which was further converted to the ring-opening product 18 in 96% yield via Grob fragmentation of compound 17 in the presence of NaOH in MeCN [28].

The electrophile-promoted cyclization of ω -substituted alkenes is an increasingly important method for the synthesis of tetrahydropyrans and six-membered lactones, which are essential components of a wide range of interesting, biologically active natural products $[29-32]$. In this regard, with compound **18** as starting material, some experiments were carried out for the structural modification and functional-group conversion at the aldehyde group in order to probe the effect of the newly introduced substituents. So, the corresponding carboxylic acid, amine, alcohol, lactone, and methyltetrahydropyran derivatives of 18 were synthesized as depicted in *Scheme 3*.

i) 1 equiv. nicotinoyl chloride, Na₂CO₃, CHCl₃, 60°, 1 h; 81%. ii) 2 equiv. nicotinoyl chloride, Na₂CO₃, CHCl₃, 60°, 3 h; 88%. iii) Pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC), CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 1 h; 82%. iv) HNO₃/H₂SO₄, CH₂Cl₂, 3 h; 80%. *v*) TsCl, pyridine, r.t., 18 h; 75%. *vi*) NaOH, MeCN, r.t., 3 h; 96%.

Compound 18 was oxidized with the *Jones* reagent $(8n)$ in acetone, resulting in the carboxylic acids 19 (90%), which was further converted to the δ -lactone 20 in the presence of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ in 75% yield. In the NOESY experiment of 20, the correlation of δ (H) 4.32 – 4.20 (H – C(15)) with Me(20) (δ (H) 0.74) indicated that Me – C(15) was β -oriented. Treatment of 18 in presence of H₂O₂ and NaOH in MeOH via Baeyer-Villiger oxidation furnished compound 21 in 75% yield. Reduction of 18 with N aBH₄ in EtOH at 0° led to the corresponding hydroxy derivative 23 (96%), the subsequent $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ -initiated cyclization afforded methyltetrahydropyran 24. In the NOESY experiment of 24, the correlation of $\delta(H)$ 3.63 – 3.56 (H – C(15)) with Me(20) ($\delta(H)$ 0.68) indicated that Me–C(15) was also β -oriented. Compound 23 was further converted to the tolyloxymethyl derivative 25 in 85% yield by esterification of 23 with TsCl in pyridine. Treatment of 25 with NaN₃ under basic conditions gave the corresponding azide, which was further converted to the amino derivative 26 with Ph_3P in H₂O at 65° (85%).

As shown in Scheme 4, reaction of 18 with HONH₂ · HCl in presence of NaHCO₃ in EtOH gave only one of the two possible geometric isomers of the corresponding aldoxime 27 (90%). Compound 27 was catalytically tautomerized with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ in

i) Jones reagent (8N), acetone, 0° , 2 h; 90%. ii) MeOH, NaOH, H₂O₂, 65 $^{\circ}$, 4 h; 75%. iii) NaBH₄, EtOH, 0°, 10 min; 96%. iv) BF_3 · OEt₂, CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 30 h; 74-75%. v) TsCl, pyridine, r.t., 12 h; 85%. vi) 1. NaN₃, DMF, 80°, 3 h; 80%; 2. Ph₃P, H₂O, 65°, 3 h; 85%.

boiling toluene into its nitrone form, which then intramolecularly cyclized to the fused isoxazolidine 28 in high yield (96%) [33]. The NOESY spectrum of the product 28 indicated the α -orientation of the H-atoms at C(15) and C(16). Treatment of 28 with MeI in presence of NaH in DMF at 50° afforded N-methylisoxazolidine 29 in 85% yield. In addition, treatment of compound 27 with H_2SO_4 in acetone gave the dehydration product 30.

The condensation of 18 with PhNHNH₂ was carried out in EtOH at 10° to give phenylhydrazone 31a, and $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ -induced cycloaddition of 31a was also accomplished to give pyrazole 32 (84%) [34]. Meanwhile, the reaction of 18 with 4 nitrophenylhydrazine in EtOH at 10° gave the corresponding 4-nitrophenylhydrazone 31b, which readily cyclized, after purification in the presence of a catalytic amount of BF_3 OEt₂, to afford a single 4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole 33 in 75% yield [34]. The stereostructure of 33 was confirmed through X-ray crystallographic analysis (Fig. 1). The 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone 31c was obtained from aldehyde 18 with 2,4 dinitrophenylhydrazine in EtOH at 10° , but the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone 31c

Fig. 1. X-Ray structure of compound 33

containing two electron-withdrawing $NO₂$ groups exhibited great stability against both thermal and Lewis acid-catalyzed cycloaddition.

Treatment of compound 18, after reduction with N aBH₄ with *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (m-CPBA) in CH₂Cl₂ at 0° afforded the epoxy intermediate 34, which was then intramolecularly converted to the (hydroxymethyl)tetrahydropyran 35 (Scheme 5). In the NOESY experiment of compound 35, the correlation of $\delta(H)$ 3.22 (H–C(15)) with Me(20) (δ (H) 0.66) indicated α -orientation of the H-atom at C(15). Meanwhile, treatment of compound 18 with NaIO₄ and NaBr in AcOH at 90° led stereoselectively to 1,2-dihydroxy derivative 36, which was further converted to acetal 37 (84%) [35]. The configuration of compound 37 was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Fig. 2). In addition, treatment of 18 with aniline in CH₂Cl₂ in the presence of molecular sieves (4 Å) afforded imino intermediate 38, which was converted to a reduction product 39 with NaBH₄.

In further studies, all of the synthetic compounds were tested for their antibacterial activities against Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, and Shigella flexneri strains.

In our studies, none of the compounds exhibited antibacterial activities against Sigella, Gram-negative bacterial strains as shown in the Table. In general, the synthetic compounds were more active against *Gram*-positive than *Gram*-negative bacteria, and were especially active against *Bacillus subtilis*. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of these compounds against Bacillus subtilis CMCC(B)63501 are collected in the *Table*. Nicotinates and nitrates exhibited much higher antibacterial activities than the precursor 1,3-diol $\frac{8}{i.e.}$, 13, 14, 16 vs. 8). In addition, the ring-opened derivatives containing OH, $NH₂$, and oxime groups were more potent than ring-opened product 18 $(i.e., 19, 20, 22, 23, 27 \nu s. 18)$. Especially, compound 27 ($MIC = 1.56 \mu g/ml$) was the most potent of these tested compounds against *Bacillus subtilis*, which may be exploitable as a lead compound for the development of potent bacteriostat. Meanwhile, the results indicated that these isosteviol derivatives were capable of inhibiting Staphylococcus *aureus* $CMCC(B)$ 26003 with moderate activities and had no inhibiting activities against Shigella flexneri 626.

Fig. 2. X-Ray structure of compound 37

Table. Antibacterial Activities of Isosteviol Derivatives against Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, and Shigella flexneri Strains

Compound	Bacillus subtilis	Saphylococcus aureus	Sigella flexneri
1	$100^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(17\%)^{\rm b}$	NI ^c
$\boldsymbol{2}$	$100^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(17\%)^{\rm b}$	NI
5	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$ (3%) ^b)	NI
6	$200^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(18\%)^{\rm b}$	NI
7	$100^{\rm a}$)	$>$ 100 ^a) (16%) ^b)	NI
8	$200^{\rm a}$)	$>$ 100 ^a) (66%) ^b)	NI
9	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(15\%)^{\rm b}$	NI
10	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(4\%)^{\rm b}$)	NI
11	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) (84%) ^b)	NI
12	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$>$ 100 ^a) (77%) ^b)	NI
13	$12.5^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) (32%) ^b)	NI
14	$3.125^{\rm a}$)	$>$ 100 ^a) (58%) ^b)	NI
15	$12.5^{\rm a}$)	$>$ 100 ^a) (47%) ^b)	NI
16	$12.5^{\rm a}$)	$>$ 100 ^a) (75%) ^b)	NI
18	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(15\%)^{\rm b}$	NI
19	$12.5^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) (63%) ^b)	NI
20	$3.125^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) (52%) ^b)	NI
22	$12.5^{\rm a}$)	NI	NI
23	$6.25^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) (59%) ^b)	NI
24	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) (53%) ^b)	NI
26	$>200^{\rm a}$)	NI	NI
27	$1.56a$)	$>$ 100 ^a) (40%) ^b)	NI
28	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$100^{\rm a}$)	NI
29	NI	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(5\%)^{\rm b}$	NI
30	NI	$>$ 100 ^a) (7%) ^b)	NI
32	NI	NI	NI
33	NI	$>$ 100 ^a) (8%) ^b)	NI
35	$6.25^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(71\%)^{\rm b}$	NI
37	$>200^{\rm a}$)	$>100^{\rm a}$) $(21\%)^{\rm b}$	NI
39	$>200^{\rm a}$)	NI	NI

^a) MIC [µg/ml]. ^b) Inhibition [%] determined at 100 µg/ml concentration of compound. ^c) NI = No inhibition at 100 μ M.

Conclusions. – In summary, a series of novel isosteviol derivatives containing OH and HOCH₂ groups, and heteroatom-containing frameworks have been successfully synthesized in high yields; especially some new compounds containing pyrazoline, pyrazole, and isoxazolidine rings fused with isosteviol structure were stereoselectively synthesized from compound 8 *via Grob* fragmentation and subsequent intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition. The in vitro antibacterial activities of these new isosteviol derivatives were investigated, and some of them showed noteworthy activities. Among all the derivatives, compound 27 showed the highest antibacterial activity against Bacillus subtilis, and thus may be exploitable as potentially potent antibacterial agents for therapeutic use. Further efforts aiming at developing potent bacteriostats based on appropriately modified D-ring fused heterocyclic analogues are continuing in our laboratory, and they will be reported in due course.

Experimental Part

General. All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers. All reactions were monitored by TLC. M.p.: Beijing Keyi XT5 apparatus; not corrected. IR Spectra: as KBr pellets on a Thermo Nicolet IR200 spectrometer. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra: *Bruker DPX-400* spectrometer at 400 and 100 MHz, resp., with TMS as internal standard. MS: Waters Q-Tof micro mass spectrometer. X-Ray analysis: Rigaku RAXIS-IV.

ent-16 β -Hydroxy-15 α -(hydroxymethyl)beyeran-19-oic Acid (=(15 β ,16a)-16-Hydroxy-15-(hydroxymethyl)beyeran-18-oic Acid; 7) [26]. To a stirred soln. of isosteviol (1; 0.318 g, 1 mmol) and NaOH $(0.08 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$ in EtOH (20 ml) was added 37% aq. HCHO soln. (2 ml). After stirring for 1 h at 60° , the mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and extracted with $CHCl₃$ and $H₂O$. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under vacuum to give 7 (0.332 g, 95%). White powder. M.p. 233–235°. IR (KBr): 3462, 2945, 2927, 2846, 1696, 1456, 1072, 1052. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, (D_6) acetone): 3.83 (dd, J = 10.4, 5.2, 1 H); 3.62 (d, J = 4.8, 1 H); 3.50 (t, J = 9.6, 1 H); 3.30 (s, 2 H); 2.12 – 1.99 (m, 2 H); 1.95 – 1.70 (m, 6 H); 1.56 – 1.51 (m, 1 H); 1.44 – 1.34 (m, 2 H); 1.17 (s, 3 H); 1.15 – 0.90 (m, 6 H); 0.88 (s, 3 H); 0.87 (s, 3 H). 13C-NMR (100 MHz, (D6)acetone): 179.0; 82.3; 62.4; 57.8; 56.7; 54.5; 50.2; 43.1; 42.6; 40.5; 39.1; 38.2; 38.0; 34.9; 33.8; 29.1; 25.6; 22.3; 19.4; 19.0; 13.4. HR-ESI-MS: 373.2358 $([M+Na]^+, C_{21}H_{34}NaO_4^+;$ calc. 373.2355).

Ethyl ent-16 β -Hydroxy-15a-(hydroxymethyl)beyeran-19-oate (= Ethyl (15 β ,16a)-16-Hydroxy-15-(hydroxymethyl)beyeran-18-oate; $\mathbf{8}$) [26]. To a stirred soln. of 2 (0.346 g, 1 mmol) and EtONa (0.136 g, 2 mmol) in EtOH (20 ml) was added 37% aq. HCHO soln. (2 ml). After stirring for 3 h at 60° , the mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and extracted with CHCl₃ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried $(MgSO_4)$, and concentrated under vacuum to give 8 (0.34 g, 90%). White powder. M.p. 181–182°. IR (KBr): 3435, 2940, 2838, 1720, 1458, 1378, 1234, 1179, 1153,1123. ¹H-NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): 4.09 (q, J = 7.2, 2 \text{ H}); 3.98 (dd, J = 9.7, 5.0, 1 \text{ H}); 3.63 (d, J = 4.7, 1 \text{ H}); 3.56 (t, J = 10.2, 10.2)$ 1 H); $2.16 \text{ (d, } J = 13.0, 1 \text{ H)}$; $2.06 - 2.04 \text{ (m, 1 H)}$; $1.83 - 1.56 \text{ (m, 9 H)}$; $1.43 - 1.37 \text{ (m, 2 H)}$; $1.26 \text{ (t, } J = 7.2,$ 3 H); 1.22 – 1.19 (m, 1 H); 1.16 (s, 3 H); 1.08 – 0.95 (m, 4 H); 0.94 (s, 3 H); 0.88 – 0.86 (m, 1 H); 0.78 (s, 3 H). 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): 177.4; 86.7; 64.9; 60.0; 57.5; 57.0; 54.2; 50.2; 43.6; 42.4; 40.8; 39.6; 38.1 ; 37.9 ; 34.8 ; 33.0 ; 28.9 ; 25.0 ; 22.1 ; 19.5 ; 18.8 ; 14.1 ; 13.2 . HR-ESI-MS: 401.2664 ([$M +$ Na]⁺, $C_{23}H_{38}NaO₄⁺; calc. 401.2668).$

ent-16 β -Hydroxybeyeran-19-oic Acid (= (16a)-16-Hydroxybeyeran-18-oic Acid; 9) [27]. A soln. of 1 $(0.318 \text{ g}, 1 \text{ mmol})$ and NaBH₄ $(0.057 \text{ g}, 1.5 \text{ mmol})$ in dry EtOH (10 ml) was stirred at 0° for 1 h. Then, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and extracted with CHCl₃ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under vacuum to give $9(0.294 g, 92\%)$. White powder. M.p. 168 – 169°. IR (KBr): 3475, 2990, 2943, 2896, 2841, 1653, 1453, 1371, 1187, 1056, 998, 621. $1H-NMR$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 3.61 – 3.56 (m, 1 H); 2.01 (d, J = 12.8, 1 H); 1.76 – 1.62 (m, 5 H); 1.59 – 1.52 $(m, 3 H); 1.45-1.41 (m, 2 H); 1.31-1.17 (m, 3 H); 1.09 (s, 3 H); 1.06-0.86 (m, 6 H); 0.82 (s, 3 H); 0.75 (s,$ 3 H). HR-ESI-MS: 321.2425 ($[M+H]^+$, $C_{20}H_{33}O_3^+$; calc. 321.2430).

Ethyl ent-16 β -Hydroxybeyeran-19-oate (= Ethyl (16a)-16-Hydroxybeyeran-18-oate; 10). A soln. of 2 (0.346 g, 1 mmol) and NaBH₄ (0.057 g, 1.5 mmol) in dry EtOH (10 ml) was stirred at 0 $^{\circ}$ for 1 h. Then, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and extracted with $CHCl₃$ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under vacuum to give 10 (0.334 g, 96%). White powder. M.p. 152-153°. IR (KBr): 3533, 2978, 2939, 2880, 2837, 1700, 1460, 1374, 1318, 1231, 1178, 1151, 1049. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 4.09 $(q, J = 7.2, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.85 $(q, J = 4.8, 1 \text{ H})$; 2.16 $(d, J = 4.8, 1 \text{ H})$ $J = 13.2, 1 \text{ H}$); $1.81 - 1.51$ $(m, 11 \text{ H})$; 1.26 $(t, J = 7.2, 3 \text{ H})$; $1.23 - 1.18$ $(m, 1 \text{ H})$; 1.16 $(s, 3 \text{ H})$; $1.04 - 0.93$ (m, m, m) 4 H); 0.90 (s, 3 H); 0.88 – 0.86 (m, 1 H); 0.74 (s, 3 H). 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): 177.6; 80.6; 59.9; 57.1; 55.8; 55.2; 43.7; 42.8; 42.0; 41.7; 39.9; 38.1; 38.0; 33.7; 29.0; 24.9; 21.7; 20.4; 18.9; 14.1; 13.3. HR-ESI-MS: 371.2554 $[M + Na]^+, C_{21}H_{34}NaO_4^+$; calc. 371.2562).

Ethyl ent-16 β -Acryloxybeyeran-19-oate (= Ethyl (16a)-16-[(Prop-2-enoyl)oxy]beyeran-18-oate; 11) [19]. A mixture of 10 (0.348 g, 1 mmol), prop-2-enoic acid (0.792 g, 1.1 mmol), DCC (0.412 g, 2 mmol), and DMAP (0.024 g, 0.2 mmol) was stirred at r.t. After stirring for 12 h, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO_2 ; petroleum ether (PE)/AcOEt 6:1) to give 11 (0.341 g, 85%). IR (KBr): 3101, 2950, 2847, 1723, 1625, 1455, 1405, 1378, 1194, 1151, 1060, 981, 811. $1\,\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.38 (d, J = 17.2, 1 H); 6.13 (dd, J = 17.2, 10.4, 1 H); 5.81 (d, J = 10.4, 1 H); $4.80 (q, J = 4.8, 1 \text{ H})$; $4.15 - 4.06 (m, 2 \text{ H})$; $2.17 (d, J = 13.6, 1 \text{ H})$; $1.92 - 1.68 (m, 7 \text{ H})$; $1.61 - 1.33 (m, 7 \text{ H})$; 1.25 (t, $J = 7.2$, 3 H); 1.15 (s, 3 H); 1.09 – 094 (m, 4 H); 0.90 (s, 3 H); 0.87 – 084 (m, 1 H); 0.70 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.5; 166.4; 130.2; 128.9; 81.7; 59.9; 57.0; 55.7; 54.8; 43.7; 42.4; 41.6; 41.5; 40.6; 39.9; 38.5; 38.0; 34.6; 28.9; 24.9; 21.7; 20.2; 18.9; 14.1; 13.2. HR-ESI-MS: 403.2835 ([M+H]⁺, $C_{25}H_{39}O_4^+$; calc. 403.2848).

Treatment of 10 with Prop-2-enoic Acid. A mixture of 10 (0.348 g, 1 mmol), prop-2-enoic acid $(1.584 \text{ g}, 2.2 \text{ mmol})$, DCC $(0.412 \text{ g}, 2 \text{ mmol})$, and DMAP $(0.024 \text{ g}, 0.2 \text{ mmol})$ was stirred at r.t. After stirring for 16 h, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 6:1) to give ethyl (16a)-16-([3-[(prop-2-enoyl)oxy]propanoyl]oxy]beyeran-18oate (12; 0.355 g, 75%). IR (KBr): 2948, 2848, 1728, 1634, 1456, 1407, 1388, 1180, 1117, 1058, 980, 809. $1\,\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.41 (dd, J = 17.2, 1.2, 1 H); 6.09 (dd, J = 17.2, 10.4, 1 H); 5.81 (dd, J = 10.4, $1.6, 1$ H); 4.78 (q, $J = 4.8$, 1 H); 4.44 (t, $J = 6.4$, 2 H); $4.15 - 4.04$ (m, 2 H); 2.71 (t, $J = 6.4$, 2 H); 2.15 (d, $J =$ 13.6, 1 H); $1.92 - 1.68$ (m, 7 H); $1.61 - 1.33$ (m, 7 H); 1.24 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.15 (s, 3 H); $1.04 - 0.92$ (m, 4 H); 0.90 (s, 3 H); 0.86 – 0.84 (m, 1 H); 0.69 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.5; 170.7; 165.8; 131.1; 128.0; 82.1; 60.2; 59.9; 57.0; 55.6; 54.7; 43.6; 42.3; 41.5; 41.4; 40.5; 39.9; 38.2; 38.0; 34.6; 34.2; 28.9; $24.8; 21.6; 20.1; 18.9; 14.1; 13.2. HR-ESI-MS: 497.2868 ([M+Na]⁺, C₂₈H₄₂NaO₆; calc. 497.2879).$

Ethyl ent-16 β -Hydroxy-15a-[(nicotinoyloxy)methyl]beyeran-19-oate (= Ethyl (15 β ,16a)-16- $Hydroxy-15-$ [[(pyridin-3-ylcarbonyl)oxy]methyl]beyeran-18-oate; 13). A mixture of 8 (0.378 g, 1 mmol) and nicotinoyl chloride (0.141 g, 1 mmol) in dry CHCl₃ (10 ml) was stirred at 60 $^{\circ}$ in the presence of $Na₂CO₃$. After stirring for 1 h, the mixture was extracted with aq. $Na₂CO₃$, brine, and $H₂O$ successively. The CHCl₃ phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated to give a crude product, which was crystallized from CHCl₃ to give 13 (0.391 g, 81%). M.p. 88.1 – 88.9°. IR (KBr): 3422, 2945, 2848, 1722, $1592, 1458, 1383, 1282, 1150, 1025, 742, 702.$ ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 9.26 (s, 1 H); 8.78 (d, $J = 4.0$, 1 H); 8.41 – 8.34 (m, 1 H); 7.42 (dd, $J = 8.0, 4.8, 1 \text{ H}$); 4.68 (dd, $J = 10.8, 4.8, 1 \text{ H}$); 4.25 (t, $J = 10.8, 1 \text{ H}$); $4.18 - 4.06$ (m, 2 H); 3.65 (d, J = 4.8, 1 H); 2.41 – 2.28 (m, 1 H); 2.25 – 2.13 (m, 1 H); 2.05 (d, J = 19.2, 1 H); $1.86 - 1.40 \text{ (m, 11 H)}$; $1.25 \text{ (t, J = 72, 3 H)}$; 1.17 (s, 3 H) ; $1.07 - 0.95 \text{ (m, 5 H)}$; 0.94 (s, 3 H); 0.92 – 0.85 (m, 1H); 0.80 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.3; 165.3; 153.0; 150.6; 137.5; 126.4; 123.5; 85.8; 67.5; 60.0; 57.5; 57.0; 54.1; 47.3; 43.6; 42.8; 41.1; 39.6; 38.2; 37.9; 35.0; 33.1; 28.9; 25.0; 22.1; 19.5; 18.9; 14.1; 13.2. HR-ESI-MS: 484.3051 ($[M+H]^+$, $C_{29}H_{42}NO_5^+$; calc. 484.3063).

Ethyl ent-16 β -(Nicotinoyloxy)-15a-[(nicotinoyloxy)methyl]beyeran-19-oate (= Ethyl (15 β ,16a)-16-[(Pyridin-3-ylcarbonyl)oxy]-15-{[(pyridin-3-ylcarbonyl)oxy]methyl}beyeran-18-oate; 14). A mixture of 8 (0.378 g, 1 mmol) and nicotinoyl chloride (0.282 g, 2 mmol) in dry CHCl₃ (10 ml) was stirred at 60° in the presence of Na_2CO_3 . After stirring for 3 h, the mixture was extracted with aq. Na_2CO_3 , brine, and H₂O successively. The CHCl₃ phase was dried (Na_5SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated to give a crude product which was crystallized from CHCl₃ to give **14** (0.517 g, 88%). IR (KBr): 2950, 2850, 1723, 1590, 1460, 1286, 1127, 1024, 971, 741, 702. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 9.12 (d, J = 18.4, 2 H); 8.76 (s, 1 H); 8.68 (s, 1 H); 8.23 – 8.15 (m, 2 H); 7.38 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.8, 1 H); 7.25 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.8, 1 H); 5.28 (d, J = 4.8,

 1 H); 4.75 (dd, J = 10.8, 4.8, 1 H); 4.42 – 4.30 (m, 1 H); 4.20 – 4.08 (m, 2 H); 2.68 – 2.62 (m, 1 H); 2.19 (d, $J = 12.8, 1 \text{ H}$; 1.91 – 1.71 (m, 10 H); 1.47 – 1.28 (m, 2 H); 1.26 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.18 (s, 3 H); 1.15 – 1.04 (m, 3 H); 1.01 (s, 3 H); 0.99 – 0.87 (m, 2 H); 0.85 (s, 3 H). 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): 177.1; 165.1; 164.6; 153.3; 153.1; 150.6; 150.5; 137.0; 137.0; 126.1; 125.9; 123.4; 123.1; 85.6; 66.3; 60.0; 57.4; 56.9; 54.0; 45.2; 43.6; 43.3; 41.6; 39.6; 38.2; 37.8; 34.8; 34.2; 28.8; 24.8; 22.0; 19.4; 18.8; 14.0; 13.2. HR-ESI-MS: 611.3081 $([M+Na]^+, C_{35}H_{44}N_2NaO_6^+;$ calc. 611.3097).

Ethyl ent-15a-(Hydroxymethyl)-16-oxobeyeran-19-oate (= Ethyl (15 β)-15-(Hydroxymethyl)-16-oxobeyeran-18-oate; 15) [10]. A mixture of $8(0.378g, 1 mmol)$ and PCC $(0.236g, 1.1 mmol)$ was stirred at r.t. for 1 h. Then, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 7:1) to give **15** (0.308 g, 82%). M.p. 155 – 157°. IR (KBr): 3534, 2958, 2857, 1735, 1721, 1462, 1151. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 4.16 – 4.08 $(m, 2 H)$; 3.95 – 3.88 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.70 $(t, J = 10.4$, 1 H); $2.56 - 2.18$ (m, 1 H); $2.54 - 2.48$ (m, 1 H); 2.19 (d, $J = 13.3$, 1 H); $1.89 - 1.69$ (m, 8 H); $1.42 - 1.29$ (m, $4 H$); 1.27 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.19 (s, 3 H); 1.18 – 1.10 (m, 2 H); 0.98 (s, 3 H); 0.97 – 0.80 (m, 2 H); 0.75 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 226.1; 177.2; 60.4; 60.1; 57.1; 56.7; 52.9; 52.5; 48.4; 43.6; 40.5; 39.6; 38.2; 37.8; 37.0; 35.2; 28.9; 21.6; 19.8; 19.6; 18.8; 14.1; 13.3. HR-ESI-MS: 399.2514 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺, $C_{23}H_{36}NaO₄⁺;$ calc. 399.2511).

Ethyl $(15\beta,16\alpha)$ -16-(Nitrooxy)-15-[(nitrooxy)methyl]beyeran-18-oate (16). To a stirred soln. of 8 $(0.378 \text{ g}, 1 \text{ mmol})$ in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml) at 0° was added a mixture of HNO₃ (0.13 ml) and H₂SO₄ (0.49 ml) for 15 min. After stirring at r.t. for 3 h, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO₄), and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 6:1) to give **16** (0.374 g, 80%). M.p. 120.7 – 122.2°. IR (KBr): 2943, 2852, 1721, 1625, 1467, 1384, 1279, 1180, 977, 853. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 5.01 (*d, J* = 4.8, 1 H); 4.75 $(dd, J=10.8, 5.2, 1 \text{ H}; 4.49-4.37 \ (m, 1 \text{ H}); 4.10 \ (q, J=7.2, 2 \text{ H}); 2.56-2.48 \ (m, 1 \text{ H}); 2.19-2.15 \ (m, J=10, 10)$ 1 H); $1.88 - 1.56 \text{ (m, 7 H)}$; $1.45 - 1.28 \text{ (m, 4 H)}$; $1.26 \text{ (t, J} = 7.2, 3 H)$; 1.16 (s, 3 H) ; $1.15 - 1.04 \text{ (m, 3 H)}$; 1.02 $(s, 3 H); 0.99 - 0.83 (m, 3 H); 0.74 (s, 3 H).$ ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.1; 92.5; 73.2; 60.1; 57.1; 56.6; 53.8; 43.5; 43.4; 42.8; 41.7; 39.4; 38.1; 37.8; 34.8; 33.6; 28.8; 24.8; 21.7; 19.1; 18.7; 14.0; 13.0. ESI-HR-MS: 491.2372 ([$M + Na$]⁺, C₂₃H₃₆N₂NaO₈⁺: calc. 491.2369).

Ethyl ent-16 β -Hydroxy-15a-{[(4-toluenesulfonyl)oxy]methyl}beyeran-19-oate (= Ethyl (15 β ,16a)- $16-Hydroxy-15-(\frac{1}{4-methylphenyl})sulfonyl/oxy/methyl)byeran-18-oate; 17).$ A mixture of 8 (0.378 g, 1 mmol) and TsCl (0.238 g, 1.1 mmol) in pyridine (5 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 18 h. Then, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 and aq. HCl soln. (5M). The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried $(MgSO₄)$, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 4:1) to give 17 (0.399 g, 75%). IR (KBr): 3541, 2950, 2928, 2851, 1718, 1598, 1458, $1361, 1177, 1151, 1097, 1020, 948, 924, 816, 779, 665, 555.$ ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.82 (d, $J = 8.2$, 2 H); 7.37 (d, $J = 8.2$, 2 H); 4.32 (dd, $J = 9.8$, 3.6, 1 H); 4.07 (q, $J = 7.1$, 2 H); 3.96 (t, $J = 9.8$, 1 H); 3.47 (d, $J = 7.5, 1 \text{ H}$); 3.46 (s, 3 H); 2.37 – 2.30 (m, 1 H); 2.22 – 2.14 (m, 2 H); 1.80 – 1.28 (m, 8 H); 1.23 (t, $J = 7.1$, 3 H); 1.19 – 1.11 (m, 1 H); 1.16 (s, 3 H); 1.10 – 0.93 (m, 5 H); 0.88 (s, 3 H); 0.86 – 0.79 (m, 3 H); 0.67 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.2; 144.8; 132.9; 129.8; 129.8; 127.7; 127.7; 84.9; 72.8; 59.9; 57.4; 56.8; 53.8; 47.6; 43.5; 42.8; 40.9; 39.5; 38.0; 37.8; 34.6; 33.0; 28.8; 24.8; 21.9; 21.6; 19.3; 18.7; 14.0; 12.9. HR-ESI-MS: 555.2742 ([$M + Na$]⁺, C₃₀H₄₄NaO₆S⁺; calc. 555.2757).

Product **18** of Ring Opening. A mixture of **17** (0.532 g, 1 mmol) and NaOH (0.048 g, 1.1 mmol) in dry MeCN (5 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 3 h. Then, the mixture was filtered, the filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O. Then, the org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO₄), and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was crystallized from CHCl₃ to give *ethyl* $(5\beta, 8\alpha, 9\beta, 10\alpha, 13\alpha)$ -8-ethenyl-13-formyl-13-methylpodocarpan-15-oate (18; 0.345 g, 96%). M.p. 116.5 – 117.8°. IR (KBr): 3072, 2937, 2796, 2704, 1716, 1458, 1384, 1238, 1183, 1029, 912, 704. ¹H-NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): 9.27 (d, J = 1.6, 1 \text{ H}); 5.95 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8, 1 \text{ H}); 5.12 (d, J = 10.0, 1 \text{ H}); 5.08 (d, J = 10.0, 1 \text{ H})$ 3.2, 1 H); 4.06 – 3.95 $(m, 2 H)$; 2.32 – 2.24 $(m, 1 H)$; 2.16 – 2.10 $(m, 2 H)$; 1.88 – 1.39 $(m, 9 H)$; 1.26 $(d, J =$ 13.2, 1 H); 1.20 $(t, J = 7.2, 3 H)$; 1.14 $(s, 3 H)$; 1.10 – 0.89 $(m, 5 H)$; 0.88 $(s, 3 H)$; 0.58 $(s, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): 205.2; 177.3; 142.5; 113.6; 59.9; 57.7; 57.5; 55.2; 46.1; 43.7; 40.8; 40.1; 39.6; 38.1; 38.0; 32.3; 28.7; 24.6; 20.0; 19.1; 17.4; 14.0; 13.2. HR-ESI-MS: 383.2560 ([M+Na]⁺, C₂₃H₃₆NaO₃⁺; calc. 383.2562).

Oxidation of 18. A mixture of 18 (0.360 g, 1 mmol) and Jones reagent (8N) in dry acetone (5 ml) was stirred at 0° for 2 h. Then, the mixture was concentrated, and the residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO₄), and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 2:1) to give $(5\beta_0 8\alpha_1 9\beta_1 10\alpha_1 13\alpha)$ -8ethenyl-15-ethoxy-13-methyl-15-oxopodocarpane-13-carboxylic acid (19; 0.338 g, 90%). M.p. 160.2 – 161.8°. IR (KBr): 3423, 3084, 2941, 2856, 1722, 1695, 1629, 1462, 1403, 1239, 1183, 1150, 1027, 896. $1\,\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.11 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8, 1 H); 5.05 (d, J = 17.6, 1 H); 5.01 (d, J = 11.2, 1 H); $4.08 - 4.02$ (m, 2 H); 2.35 (d, J = 22.0, 1 H); 2.21 – 2.06 (m, 3 H); 1.96 – 1.39 (m, 9 H); 1.24 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.17 (s, 3 H); 1.13 (s, 3 H); 1.10 – 0.84 (m, 5 H); 0.62 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 184.6; 177.3; 140.8; 112.4; 59.8; 58.4; 57.8; 55.3; 45.6; 43.7; 41.0; 40.8; 39.75; 38.2; 38.0; 36.0; 30.0; 28.7; 19.8; 19.1; 18.0; 13.9; 13.4. HR-ESI-MS: 377.2710 ($[M+H]^+$, $C_{23}H_{37}O_4^+$; calc. 377.2692).

Cyclization of 19. Compound 19 (0.376 g, 1 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml), and BF₃ · OEt₂ (48% soln. in Et₂O, 0.47 ml, 1.5 mmol) was added dropwise during stirring of the mixture under reflux for 30 h. The soln. was then diluted with H_2O and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , and the combined org. phases were dried (Na₃SO₄), the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 5:1) to give ethyl (3S,6S,6aS,8aS,9R,12aS,12bS)-dodecahydro-3,6,9,12a-tetramethyl-4-oxo-2H-3,6a-methanonaphtho[2,1-c]oxocine-9(6H)-carboxylate (20; 0.278 g, 74%). M.p. 118.2 – 119.6°. IR (KBr): 2957, 2913, 2843, 1714, 1451, 1381, 1238, 1172, 1025. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 4.32 – 4.20 (m, 1 H); 4.15 – 4.02 (m, 2 H); 2.19 (d, J = 18.4, 1 H); 2.09 – 1.54 (m, 9 H); 1.46 – 1.37 (m, 3 H); 1.26 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.17 (s, 3 H); 1.14 (s, 3 H); 1.10 (d, J = 2.8, 3 H); $1.09 - 0.82$ (m, 5 H); 0.74 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.0; 175.4; 85.6; 60.5; 57.1; 55.5; 48.7; 44.7; 43.6; 41.8; 39.9; 38.6; 38.4; 37.8; 34.9; 33.6; 28.7; 28.2; 25.6; 19.5; 18.8; 14.5; 13.4. HR-ESI-MS: 399.2534 ($[M+Na]^+$, $C_{23}H_{36}NaO_4^+$; calc. 399.2511).

Treatment of 18 with H_2O_2 . Compound 18 (0.360 g, 1 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (5 ml), and then NaOH (0.080 g, 2 mmol) and H_2O_2 (40%, 0.5 ml) were added. After stirring at 65 $^{\circ}$ for 4 h, the mixture was concentrated, and the residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O. Then, the org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried $(MgSO₄)$, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO_2 ; PE/AcOEt 3:1) to give ethyl (5β ,8a,9 β ,10a,13a)-8-ethenyl-13-hydroxy-13-methylpodocarpan-15-oate (22; 0.261 g, 75%). M.p. 80.1 – 81.28. IR (KBr): 3426, 3080, 2933, 2872, 2843, 1718, 1626, 1453, 1388, 1183, 1153, 1031, 905. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.54 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8, 1 H); 5.11 (d, J = 11.2, 1 H); $5.06 \text{ (d, } J = 17.6, 1 \text{ H})$; $4.12 - 4.02 \text{ (m, 2 H)}$; $2.18 - 2.08 \text{ (m, 2 H)}$; $1.96 - 1.39 \text{ (m, 11 H)}$; $1.24 \text{ (t, } J = 7.2,$ 3 H); 1.16 (s, 3 H); 1.13 (s, 3 H); 1.10 – 0.86 (m, 5 H); 0.70 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.3; 144.1; 111.7; 70.3; 59.9; 58.2; 58.1; 57.7; 43.7; 40.9; 40.5; 40.1; 39.7; 38.1; 38.1; 31.8; 28.7; 19.6; 19.1; 16.7; 14.0; 13.5. HR-ESI-MS: 371.2561 ($[M + Na]^+$, $C_{22}H_{36}NaO_3^+$; calc. 371.2562).

Reduction of 18. A mixture of 18 (0.360 g, 1 mmol) and NaBH₄ (0.057 g, 1.5 mmol) in dry EtOH (10 ml) was stirred at 0° for 10 min. Then, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and extracted with CHCl₃ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under vacuum to give ethyl (5 β ,8a,9 β ,10a,13a)-8-ethenyl-13-(hydroxymethyl)-13-methylpodocarpan-15-oate as a white powder (23; 0.337 g, 96%). M.p. 115.1 – 116.7°. IR (KBr): 3441, 3069, 2952, 2922, 2847, 1715, 1450, 1381, 1190, 1153. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.51 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8, 1 H); 5.13 (d, J = 17.6, 1 H); 5.08 (d, J = 11.2, 1 H); 4.12 – 4.02 (m, 2 H); 3.56 (d, J = 12, 1 H); 3.08 (d, J = 12, 1 H); 2.14 – 2.06 (m, 2 H); 1.84 – 1.39 (m, 11 H); 1.22 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.15 (s, 3 H); 1.13 – 0.85 (m, 5 H); 0.84 (s, 3 H); 0.66 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.1; 145.2; 110.7; 67.7; 59.6; 58.1; 57.4; 53.1; 43.4; 41.6; 40.5; 39.2; 37.8; 36.4; 34.9; 30.7; 29.0; 28.4; 19.7; 18.8; 17.0; 13.7; 13.3. HR-ESI-MS: 385.2709 $([M+Na]^+, C_{23}H_{38}NaO_3^+;$ calc. 385.2719).

Cyclization of 23. Compound 23 (0.362 g, 1 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml), and BF₃ · OEt₂ $(48\% \text{ soln. in Et, O}; 0.47 \text{ ml}, 1.5 \text{ mmol})$ was added dropwise during stirring of the mixture under reflux for 30 h. The soln. was then diluted with H₂O and extracted with CH₂Cl₂, and the combined org. phases were dried (Na₂SO₄), and then the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/ AcOEt 5 : 1) to give ethyl (3S,6S,6aS,8aS,9R,12aS,12bS)-dodecahydro-3,6,9,12a-tetramethyl-2H-3,6amethanonaphtho[2,1-c]oxocine-9(6H)-carboxylate $(24; 0.271 g, 75%)$. M.p. 158.2-159.7°. IR (KBr): 2958, 2921, 2845, 1699, 1626, 1466, 1448, 1239, 1190, 1153, 921, 623. ¹ H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 4.11 – 4.01 $(m, 2 H)$; 3.76 $(d, J = 11.2, 1 H)$; 3.63 – 3.56 $(m, 1 H)$; 3.18 $(d, J = 11.2, 1 H)$; 2.18 – 1.89 $(m, 3 H)$; $1.82 - 1.31$ $(m, 11$ H); 1.22 $(t, J = 7.2, 3$ H); 1.16 $(s, 3$ H); 1.08 $(d, J = 6.8, 3$ H); $1.05 - 0.83$ $(m, 4$ H); 0.81 $(s,$ 3 H); 0.68 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.1; 76.5; 71.7; 59.4; 57.8; 56.4; 53.3; 44.4; 42.6; 40.5; 39.8 ; 37.9 ; 36.1 ; 35.2 ; 31.7 ; 29.4 ; 28.4 ; 22.3 ; 19.7; 18.9; 17.5; 14.8; 14.3. HR-ESI-MS; 385.2731 ($[M + Na]$ +, $C_{23}H_{38}NaO_3^+$; calc. 385.2719).

Treatment of 23 with TsCl. A mixture of 23 (0.362 g, 1 mmol) and TsCl (0.238 g, 1.1 mmol) in pyridine (5 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 12 h. Then, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 and aq. HCl soln. (5m). The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO₄), and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by $CC(SiO₂; PE/ACOEt 5:1)$ to give *ethyl* (5b,8a,9b,10a,13a)-8-ethenyl-13-methyl-13-({[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}methyl)podocarpan-15 oate (25; 0.438 g, 85%). IR (KBr): 3076, 2933, 2872, 2849, 1720, 1598, 1455, 1363, 1180, 959, 844, 666. $1H-NMR$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.76 $(d, J = 8.0, 2 H)$; 7.34 $(d, J = 8.0, 2 H)$; 6.07 $(dd, J = 17.6, 10.8, 1 H)$; 4.99 – 4.93 $(m, 2 H)$; 4.12 – 4.01 $(m, 2 H)$; 3.95 $(d, J = 9.6, 1 H)$; 3.57 $(d, J = 9.2, 1 H)$; 2.92 $(d, J = 28.0, 1 H)$ 1 H); 2.44 (s, 3 H); 2.13 (d, J = 13.2, 1 H); 1.99 (d, J = 13.2, 1 H); 1.83 – 1.39 (m, 11 H); 1.25 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.12 (s, 3 H); 1.08 – 0.93 (m, 6 H); 0.81 (s, 3 H); 0.59 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.3; 144.5; 143.0; 133.0; 130.2; 129.7; 127.9; 127.0; 112.5; 59.9; 58.4; 57.6; 54.9; 43.6; 42.1; 40.4; 39.5; 38.0; 37.9; 35.2; 34.6; 29.7; 28.7; 28.2; 21.6; 19.9; 19.0; 16.9; 14.0; 13.5. HR-ESI-MS: 539.2802 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺, $C_{30}H_{44}NaO_5S^+$; calc. 539.2807).

Treatment of 25 with NaN₃. A mixture of 25 (0.516 g, 1 mmol) and NaN₃ (0.130 g, 2 mmol) in DMF (5 ml) was stirred at 80 \degree for 3 h. Then, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O. The org. layer was concentrated, and the residue was dissolved in THF (5 ml) , and then the Ph₃P (0.524 g, 2 mmol) and $H₂O$ (0.05 ml) were added. After stirring at 65 $^{\circ}$ for 3 h, the mixture was concentrated, and the aq. HCl soln. (0.5M) was added to attain $pH < 3$. The H₂O layer was extracted with Et₂O, the org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO4), and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 1:1) to give ethyl (5 β ,8 α ,9 β ,10 α ,13 α)-13-(aminomethyl)-8-ethenyl-13methylpodocarpan-15-oate (26; 0.245 g, 68%). IR (KBr): 3356, 3075, 2948, 2921, 2845, 1721, 1456, 1381, 1191, 1081. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.28 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8, 1 H); 5.10 (d, J = 17.6, 1 H); 5.07 (d, J = $11.2, 1$ H); $4.06 - 3.96$ (m, 2 H); 3.26 (d, J = 10.8, 1 H); 2.88 (d, J = 10.8, 1 H); 2.18 – 1.74 (m, 4 H); 1.70 – 1.31 $(m, 9 H)$; 1.25 $(t, J = 7.2, 3 H)$; 1.16 $(s, 3 H)$; 1.13 – 0.83 $(m, 5 H)$; 0.78 $(s, 3 H)$; 0.67 $(s, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): 177.4; 146.1; 111.7; 62.7; 59.8; 58.4; 57.1; 54.1; 42.4; 41.1; 39.8; 39.2; 36.8; 35.4; 34.4; 31.2; 29.4; 27.1; 20.2; 18.7; 17.4; 14.3; 13.1. HR-ESI-MS: 362.3041 $([M + H]^+, C_{23}H_{40}NO_2^+;$ calc. 362.3059).

Treatment of 18 with HONH₂ · HCl. A mixture of 18 (0.360 g, 1 mmol) and HONH₂ · HCl (0.103 g, 1.5 mmol) in EtOH was stirred in presence of NaHCO₃ at 60° for 2 h. Then, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under vacuum to give ethyl (5 β_0 ,8 α ,9 β_1 10 α ,13 α)-8-ethenyl-13-[(E)-(hydroxyimino)methyl]-13-methylpodocarpan-15-oate (27; 0.363 g, 97%). White powder. M.p. 148.5 – 149.68. IR (KBr): 3439, 3070, 2954, 2921, 2855, 1699, 1627, 1448, 1377, 1239, 1190, 1153, 1025, 943, 628. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.13 (s, 1 H); 6.10 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.8, 1 H); 5.05 (d, J = 10.8, 1 H); 4.98 $(d, J = 17.6, 1 \text{ H})$; 4.09 – 4.02 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.24 $(dd, J = 13.2, 9.6, 1 \text{ H})$; 2.15 – 2.09 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 1.89 – 1.40 $(m, m, 1)$ 7 H); 1.26 (d, J = 13.2, 1 H); 1.20 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.14 (s, 3 H); 1.10 – 0.97 (m, 5 H); 0.94 (s, 3 H); 0.93 – 0.83 (m, 2 H); 0.61 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.4; 159.3; 143.5; 111.2; 59.8; 58.4; 58.2; 57.7; 43.7; 40.9; 39.8; 39.6; 38.1; 38.0; 36.7; 35.4; 29.8; 28.7; 19.8; 19.0; 17.1; 13.9; 13.3. HR-ESI-MS: 376.2848 $([M+H]^+, C_{23}H_{38}NO_3^+;$ calc. 376.2852).

Cyclization of 27. To a soln. of 27 (0.375 g, 1 mmol) in toluene (5 ml), 48% BF₃ \cdot OEt₂ (48% soln. in Et₂O, 0.05 ml, 0.17 mmol) was added dropwise, and the mixture was heated under N₂ for 2 h at 118°. H₂O (10 ml) was added to the mixture, which was then neutralized with NaHCO₃, and the org. phase was separated and dried (Na_2SO_4) . After evaporation in vacuo, the crude product was purified by CC (PE/ AcOEt 2 : 1) to give ethyl (3S,3aS,6aS,6bS,8aS,9R,12aS,12bS)-hexadecahydro-3,9,12a-trimethyl-3,6bmethanonaphtho[2',1': 3,4]cyclohepta[1,2-c] [1,2]oxazole-9-carboxylate (28; 0.356 g, 95%). White powder. M.p. 136.5 – 138.1°. IR (KBr): 3026, 2956, 2934, 2872, 2855, 1704, 1467, 1382, 1238, 1178, 1149, 1052, 1029, 976, 859. ¹ H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 4.16 – 4.04 (m, 2 H); 3.84 – 3.78 (m, 1 H); 3.74 – 3.70 (m, 1 H); 3.30 $(d, J = 7.2, 1 \text{ H})$; 2.90 $(q, J = 6.4, 1 \text{ H})$; 2.17 $(d, J = 13.2, 1 \text{ H})$; 1.83 – 1.43 $(m, 8 \text{ H})$; 1.36 – 1.28 $(m, 4 H); 1.25$ $(t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.17$ $(s, 3 H); 1.10$ $(dd, J = 12.0, 2.0, 1 H); 1.09 - 0.97$ $(m, 2 H); 0.95$ $(s, 3 H);$ $0.93 - 0.80$ (m, 2 H); 0.79 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.3; 73.0; 72.7; 59.9; 57.6; 56.3; 52.0;

51.1; 44.9; 43.6; 40.3; 39.9; 39.2; 38.2; 37.8; 35.5; 28.9; 21.7; 21.5; 19.2; 18.9; 14.1; 13.7. HR-ESI-MS: 376.2835 ([$M + H$]⁺, C₂₃H₃₈NO⁺₃; calc. 376.2852).

Treatment of 28 with MeI. To a soln. of 28 (0.375 g, 1 mmol) and NaH (0.026 g, 1.1 mmol) in DMF (5 ml) , MeI $(0.156 \text{ g}, 1.1 \text{ mmol})$ was added dropwise, and the mixture was heated at 50° for 2 h. The mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was extracted with CHCl₃ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 6:1) to give *ethyl* (3S,3aS,6aS,6bS,8aS,9R,12aS,12bS)hexadecahydro-3,4,9,12a-tetramethyl-3,6b-methanonaphtho[2',1': 3,4]cyclohepta[1,2-c] [1,2]oxazole-9 carboxylate (29; 0.330 g, 85%). M.p. 158.6 – 159.9°. IR (KBr): 2957, 2941, 2859, 1714, 1458, 1384, 1239, 1181, 1150, 1039, 978. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 4.11 – 4.02 (*m*, 2 H); 3.92 (*dd*, *J* = 8.4, 8.0, 1 H), 3.58 $(dd, J = 8.4, 3.6, 1 H);$ 3.13 $(d, J = 7.2, 1 H);$ 2.92 – 2.85 $(m, 1 H);$ 2.78 $(s, 3 H);$ 2.17 $(d, J = 12.4, 1 H);$ $1.83 - 1.43$ (m, 8 H); $1.36 - 1.28$ (m, 3 H); 1.26 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.16 (s, 3 H); $1.12 - 0.97$ (m, 3 H); 0.89 (s, 3 H); 0.87 – 0.77 (m, 3 H); 0.75 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.5; 79.5; 71.6; 59.8; 57.6; 56.3; 53.2; 52.0; 44.9; 43.6; 41.3; 39.8; 39.2; 38.5; 38.2; 37.6; 34.5; 28.4; 21.9; 20.7; 19.8; 19.2; 13.9; 13.1. HR-ESI-MS: 412.2814 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺, C₂₄H₃₉NNaO₃⁺; calc. 412.2828).

Treatment of 27 with H_2SO_4 . To a soln. of 27 (0.375 g, 1 mmol) in acetone (5 ml), a mixture of H_2SO_4 (0.09 ml) and acetone (5 ml) was added dropwise, and the mixture was heated under N₂ for 2 h at 40° . $H₂O$ (10 ml) was added to the mixture, which was then neutralized with NaHCO₃, and the org. phase was separated and dried (Na_3SO_4) . After evaporation in vacuo, the crude product was purified by CC (PE/ AcOEt 4:1) to give ethyl $(5\beta_0 8\alpha_1 9\beta_1 10\alpha_1 13\alpha_1) -13$ -cyano-8-ethenyl-13-methylpodocarpan-15-oate (30; 0.253 g, 71%). White powder. M.p. 124.5 – 126.1°. IR (KBr): 3097, 2978, 2937, 2852, 2226, 1725, 1634, $1452, 1379, 1225, 1148, 1014, 902, 772.$ $H\text{-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.54 (dd, $J = 17.6, 10.8, 1 \text{ H}$); 5.19 (d, $J = 10.8, 1 \text{ H}$; 5.12 (d, $J = 17.6, 1 \text{ H}$); 4.12 – 4.01 (m, 2 H); 2.22 – 2.16 (m, 3 H); 1.76 – 1.33 (m, 10 H); 1.29 $(s, 3 H)$; 1.22 $(t, J = 7.2, 3 H)$; 1.15 $(s, 3 H)$; 1.11 - 0.85 $(m, 5 H)$; 0.84 $(s, 3 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): 177.2; 141.4; 125.5; 112.3; 59.9; 58.1; 57.8; 57.6; 55.2; 52.9; 43.7; 40.5; 39.7; 39.6; 38.0; 31.5; 29.6; 28.6; 26.2; 19.6; 18.0; 13.9; 13.5. HR-ESI-MS: 380.2558 ($[M + Na]^+$, C₂₃H₃₅NNaO $_2^+$; calc. 380.2566).

Treatment of 18 with PhNHNH₂. To a soln. of 18 (0.360 g, 1 mmol) in EtOH (10 ml), PhNHNH₂ (0.10 ml, 1.00 mmol) and 2 drops of glacial AcOH were added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at r.t. The soln. was then poured into $H_2O(10 \text{ ml})$, and the white precipitate was filtered, washed with H_2O , and dried. The product was recrystallized from CHCl₃/light PE to give ethyl (5 β ,8a,9 β ,10a,13a)-8-ethenyl-13methyl-13-[(E)-(2-phenylhydrazinylidene)methyl]podocarpan-15-oate (31a; 0.427 g, 95%). M.p. 132.1 – 133.78. IR (KBr): 3297, 3134, 2949, 2925, 1720, 1698, 1601, 1510, 1452, 1396, 1256, 1185, 1114, 749. $1\,\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.22 (t, $J = 8.0, 2 \,\text{H}$); 6.98 (d, $J = 8.0, 2 \,\text{H}$); 6.79 (t, $J = 7.2, 1 \,\text{H}$); 6.66 (s, 1 H); 6.08 (dd, J = 17.6, 11.2, 1 H); 4.94 (s, 1 H); 4.91 (d, J = 4.4, 1 H); 4.06 – 3.88 (m, 2 H); 2.49 (dd, J = 12.8, $2.0, 1 \text{ H}$; $2.14 - 2.10 \text{ (m, 2 H)}$; $1.91 - 1.44 \text{ (m, 8 H)}$; $1.33 - 1.26 \text{ (m, 2 H)}$; $1.20 \text{ (t, J = 7.2, 3 H)}$; 1.14 (s, 3 H) ; $1.12 - 0.96$ (m, 5 H); 0.93 (s, 3 H); 0.89 – 0.84 (m, 1 H); 0.56 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.9; 154.6.0; 146.3; 140.7; 129.6; 129.6; 120.7; 118.5; 118.5; 112.3; 61.9; 59.9; 58.1; 53.7; 43.8; 43.4; 40.2; 40.1; 38.3; 37.9; 37.8; 36.6; 29.1; 22.5; 21.8; 21.3; 19.0; 14.1; 12.5. HR-ESI-MS: 473.3138 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺, $C_{29}H_{42}N_2NaO_2^+$; calc. 473.3144).

Treatment of 18 with 4-Nitrophenylhydrazine. To a soln. of 18 (0.360 g, 1 mmol) in EtOH (10 ml), 4nitrophenylhydrazine (0.154 g, 1.00 mmol), and 2 drops of glacial AcOH were added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at r.t. The soln. was then poured into $H₂O (10 ml)$, and the white precipitate was filtered, washed with H₂O, and dried. The product was recrystallized from CHCl $\sqrt{$ light PE to give *ethyl* $(5\beta,8\alpha,9\beta,10\alpha,13\alpha)$ -8-ethenyl-13-methyl-13- ${f(E)}$ - $[2-(4-nitrophenyl)hydrazinylidene/methyl]podo carpan-$ 15-oate (31b; 0.420 g, 85%). M.p. 205.6 – 206.98. IR (KBr): 3312, 3088, 2944, 2847, 1702, 1593, 1319, 1270, 1167, 1104, 906, 841. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.14 $(d, J = 9.2, 2 H)$; 7.61 $(s, 1 H)$; 6.97 $(d, J = 9.2, 1 H)$ $2 H$); 6.81 (s, 1 H); 6.02 (dd, J = 18.0, 10.8, 1 H); 4.98 (s, 1 H); 4.94 (d, J = 3.2, 1 H); 4.06 - 3.98 (m, 2 H); $2.51 - 2.44$ (m, 1 H); 2.12 (t, J = 12.4, 2 H); 1.86 – 1.31 (m, 9 H); 1.18 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.14 (s, 3 H); 1.12 – 0.83 $(m, 4H)$; 0.96 $(s, 3H)$; 0.92 – 0.83 $(m, 4H)$; 0.57 $(s, 3H)$. ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.3; 153.0; 150.3; 144.3; 139.4; 126.2; 126.2; 111.0; 111.0; 110.8; 59.8; 58.5; 58.1; 57.7; 43.7; 40.9; 39.9; 39.6; 38.1; 37.3; $35.3; 29.8; 29.6; 28.7; 19.8; 19.0; 17.1; 13.9; 13.4. HR-ESI-MS: 518.2996 ([M + Na]+, C_{29}H_{39}N_3NaO₄⁺; calc.$ 518.2995).

Cyclization of 31a. To a soln. of 31a (0.450 g, 1 mmol) in toluene (5 ml), 48% BF₃ · OEt₂ (48% soln. in Et₂O, 0.05 ml, 0.17 mmol) was added dropwise, and the mixture was heated under a N₂ atmosphere for 2 h at 118° . H₂O (10 ml) was added to the mixture, which was then neutralized with NaHCO₃, and the org. phase was separated and dried (Na₂SO₄). After evaporation in vacuo, the crude product was purified by CC (PE/AcOEt 2 : 1) to give ethyl (3S,6bS,8aS,9R,12aS,12bS)-1,3,5,7,8,8a,9,10,11,12,12a,12b-dodecahydro-3,9,12a-trimethyl-5-phenyl-2H-3,6b-methanonaphtho[2',1': 3,4]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrazole-9-carboxylate (32; 0.374 g, 84%). White powder. M.p. 61.9 – 63.4°. IR (KBr): 3113, 2949, 2847, 1720, 1598, $1572, 1506, 1381, 1150, 1033, 948, 756, 690.$ ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.61 (d, $J = 8.0, 2$ H); 7.50 (s, 1 H); 7.39 (t, $J = 8.0, 2 \text{ H}$); 7.17 (t, $J = 7.2, 1 \text{ H}$); 4.20 – 4.08 (m, 2 H); 2.19 (d, $J = 13.6, 1 \text{ H}$); 2.06 – 1.92 (m, 4 H); $1.77 - 1.40$ (m, 9 H); 1.35 (s, 3 H); 1.30 (t, $J = 7.2$, 3 H); 1.23 (s, 3 H); $1.20 - 0.86$ (m, 4 H); 0.59 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.3; 167.0; 140.9; 130.7; 129.2; 129.2; 125.0; 120.7; 118.5; 118.5; 65.3; 59.9; 57.1; 53.5; 43.8; 43.4; 40.2; 40.1; 38.3; 37.9; 37.8; 36.6; 29.1; 22.5; 21.8; 21.3; 19.0; 14.1; 12.5. HR-ESI-MS: 447.3009 ($[M+H]^+$, C₂₉H₃₉N₂O₂⁺; calc. 447.3012).

Cyclization of 31b. To a soln. of 31b (0.450 g, 1 mmol) in toluene (5 ml), 48% $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ (48% soln. in Et₂O, 0.05 ml, 0.17 mmol) was added dropwise, and the mixture was heated under N₂ for 2 h at 118[°]. $H₂O (10 ml)$ was added to the mixture, which was then neutralized with Na $HCO₃$, and the org. phase was separated and dried (Na₂SO₄). After evaporation in vacuo, the crude product was purified by CC (PE/ AcOEt 2 : 1) to give ethyl (3S,6aR,6bS,8aS,9R,12aS,12bS)-1,3,5,6,6a,7,8,8a,9,10,11,12,12a,12b-tetradecahydro-3,9,12a-trimethyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-3,6b-methanonaphtho[2',1': 3,4]cyclohepta[1,2-c]pyrazole-9-carboxylate (33; 0.406 g, 75%). White powder. M.p. 186.8 - 188.7°. IR (KBr): 3298, 3110, 2951, 2849, 1720, 1619, 1590, 1516, 1333, 1275, 1140, 920. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 10.92 (s, 1 H); 9.11 (d, J = 2.4, 1 H); 8.29 (d, J = 9.6, 1 H); 7.91 (d, J = 9.6, 1 H); 7.45 (s, 1 H); 4.11 – 4.02 (m, 2 H); 2.41 – 2.33 (m, 1 H); $2.01 - 1.62$ (m, 9 H); 1.56 – 1.28 (m, 6 H); 1.23 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.16 (s, 3 H); 1.06 (s, 3 H); 1.04 – 0.83 (m, 4 H); 0.71 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 0.69 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.2; 158.9; 145.2; 137.6; 129.9; 128.7; 123.5; 116.4; 59.8; 59.5; 58.1; 49.1; 43.7; 40.5; 38.7; 38.4; 38.0; 38.0; 37.7; 36.4; 30.5; 28.7; 20.8; 19.3; 19.1; 17.4; 14.8; 14.0; 7.7. HR-ESI-MS: 565.3013 ($[M + Na]^+$, $C_{29}H_{42}N_4NaO_6^+$; calc. 565.3002).

Treatment of 23 with m-CPBA. A mixture of 23 (0.362 g, 1 mmol) and m-CPBA (0.258 g, 1.5 mmol) in CHCl₃ (5 ml) was stirred at 0° for 5 h, and then the mixture was poured into H₂O and neutralized with aq. NaHCO₃ soln. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 2:1) to give *ethyl* (3S,6R,6aS,8aS, 9R,12aS,12bS)-dodecahydro-6-(hydroxymethyl)-3,9,12a-trimethyl-2H-3,6a-methanonaphtho[2,1-c]oxocine-9(6H)-carboxylate (35; 0.293 g, 78%). M.p. 85.5 – 86.78. IR (KBr): 3576, 2982, 2928, 2846, 1716, 1459, 1380, 1328, 1235, 1179, 1149, 1023, 969. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 4.16–4.04 (m, 2 H); 3.87 (d, $J = 8.4, 2 \text{ H}$); 3.71 (d, $J = 9.2, 1 \text{ H}$); 3.28 (dd, $J = 10.4, 2.2, 1 \text{ H}$); 3.22 (d, $J = 8.0, 1 \text{ H}$); 2.14 (d, $J = 13.6$, 1 H); 2.09 – 2.04 (m, 1 H); 1.87 – 1.34 (m, 9 H); 1.27 (t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.24 – 1.15 (m, 2 H); 1.14 (s, 3 H); 1.08 – 0.80 (m, 5 H); 0.72 (s, 3 H); 0.66 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.1; 89.9; 79.8; 64.7; 59.9; 59.6; 58.1; 57.2; 43.6; 40.5; 39.7; 38.6; 38.0; 36.7; 36.2; 31.9; 28.6; 25.5; 22.3; 21.0; 19.3; 14.1; 14.0. HR-ESI-MS: 399.2502 ($[M + Na]^+$, C₂₃H₃₆NaO₄⁺; calc. 399.2511).

Cyclization of Compound 18. A mixture of 18 (0.360 g, 1 mmol), NaIO₄ (0.319 g, 1.5 mmol), and NaBr (0.153 g, 1.5 mmol) in glacial AcOH (10 ml) was stirred at 70 $^{\circ}$ for 8 h, then, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and extracted with H_2O and CHCl₃. The org. layer was washed with aq. $Na₂SO₄$ soln. and sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 4:1) to give ethyl (3S,4S,7R,7aS,9aS,10R,13aS,13bS)-tetradecahydro- $3,10,13$ a-trimethyl-2H-4,7-epoxy-3,7a-methanonaphtho[2,1-d]oxonine-10-carboxylate (37; 0.245 g, 65%). M.p. 83.3 – 84.58. IR (KBr): 2945, 2929, 1725, 1464, 1377, 1226, 1156, 989. ¹ H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 4.95 (s, 1 H); $4.74 - 4.66$ (m, 1 H); $4.14 - 4.06$ (m, 2 H); 3.87 (d, $J = 7.2$, 1 H); $3.55 - 3.51$ (m, 1 H); $2.18 - 1.18$ 2.13 $(m, 2$ H); $1.88 - 1.44$ $(m, 11$ H); 1.26 $(t, J = 7.2, 3$ H); 1.16 $(s, 3$ H); $1.15 - 1.11$ $(m, 5$ H); 0.91 $(s, 3$ H); 0.76 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.2; 108.1; 78.8; 65.7; 60.0; 57.9; 57.9; 44.6; 43.7; 39.6; 38.1; 37.9 ; 37.2 ; 36.7 ; 35.0 ; 29.7 ; 28.8 ; 24.6 ; 21.6 ; 19.7 ; 19.1 ; 14.1 ; 13.3 . HR-ESI-MS: 379.2840 ([$M + H$]⁺, $C_{23}H_{39}O_4^+$; calc. 379.2848).

Reduction of 38. A mixture of 38 (0.435 g, 1 mmol) and NaBH₄ (0.057 g, 1.5 mmol) in dry EtOH (10 ml) was stirred at 0° for 1 h. Then, the mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and extracted with CHCl₃ and H₂O. The org. layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl soln., dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated

under vacuum. The residue was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 4:1) to give *ethyl* (5 β ,8 α ,9 β ,10 α ,13 α)-8-ethenyl-13-methyl-13-[(phenylamino)methyl]podocarpan-15-oate (39; 0.419 g, 96%). M.p. 57.5 – 59.1°. IR (KBr): 3422, 3063, 2938, 2843, 1721, 1595, 1486, 1452, 1379, 1149, 1093, 963, 754, 691. ¹ H-NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: 7.13 $(t, J = 8.0, 2 \text{ H})$; 6.65 $(t, J = 7.2, 1 \text{ H})$; 6.56 $(d, J = 7.6, 2 \text{ H})$; 6.40 $(dd, J = 17.6, 11.2$, 1 H); 5.10 (d, J = 17.6, 1 H); 5.01 (d, J = 11.2, 1 H); 4.12 – 4.01 (m, 2 H); 2.98 – 2.92 (m, 2 H); 2.17 – 2.11 $(m, 2 H); 1.87-1.41$ $(m, 10 H); 1.21$ $(t, J = 7.2, 3 H); 1.15$ $(s, 3 H); 1.12-0.87$ $(m, 6 H); 0.85$ $(s, 3 H); 0.65$ (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 177.4; 149.2; 144.0; 129.1; 129.1; 116.8; 113.1; 113.1; 111.0; 59.8; 58.8; 57.8; 54.8; 51.0; 43.7; 41.6; 40.8; 39.6; 38.5; 38.1; 34.6; 30.2; 29.6; 28.7; 19.9; 19.1; 17.3; 14.0; 13.6. HR-ESI-MS: 438.3374 ([$M + H$]⁺, C₂₉H₄₄NO₂⁺; calc. 438.3372).

Antibacterial Activity Assay. The bacteria strains were Staphylococcus aureus CMCC(B)26003, Bacillus subtilis CMCC(B)63501, and Shigella flexneri 626. All tested bacteria strains were purchased from Henan Provincial Institute of Food and Drug Control except Shigella flexneri 626, a multidrugresistant strain separated from clinical studies. For the determination of the antibacterial susceptility, yeast extract (Oxoid, USA), tryptone (Oxoid, USA), and Mueller-Hinton Broth (MHB, Beijing Aoboxing, China) were used.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Measurements. Each tested compound was dissolved in DMSO before serial two-fold dilution into the desired testing concentration ranges using sterile liquid medium. DMSO was used for solvent control test, and the final concentration of DMSO was 2% in all the tested samples. The seed was cultured in Shigella flexneri 626 in MHB culture medium and others in Luria – Bertani (LB) culture medium, until containing 10^9 colony forming units (cfu) per ml. All media, sterilized by autoclave at 121° for 20 min, were used to dilute microorganism in the exponential-growth phase, until the final concentration of microorganism was $10⁵$ cfu/ml in the 96-well plates, and then inoculated to the 96-well plates and mixed with the compound to be tested. Every microplate had a negative control and a blank without bacterium, and a sample blank. The MIC value was defined as the lowest concentration of tested compounds, allowing no visible growth of test-strain bacteria after an incubation at 37 \degree for 6 h. Absorbance was measured by ELISA reader (Bio-Tek Instruments, Microplate Autoreader, power waveX) at 450 nm.

X-Ray Crystallographic Analysis. X-Ray crystal data of compounds 33 and 37 were collected by a *Rigaku AFC5R* diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K_a radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The structure was solved by the direct methods and refined with a full-matrix least-squares method.

Crystal Data for Compound 33. C₃₀H₃₉N₂O₄, M_r 491.63, orthorhombic, space group P2₁2₁2₁, a = 7.6600(15), $b = 21.257(4)$, $c = 32.780(7)$, $V = 5337.6(18)$ \AA^3 , $Z = 8$, $\mu(\text{MoK}_a) = 0.081$ cm⁻¹, $F(000) =$ 2120, $D_c = 1.224$ g/cm³, crystal dimensions: $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.17$ mm. A total of 15417 reflections (5091) unique) were collected using the ω -2 θ scan technique to a maximum 2 θ value of 55°, and 4289 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ were used in the structure determination. Final R and R_w values were 0.0619 and 0.1381, resp. The maximum and minimum peaks in the difference map were 0.195 and -0.232 e \AA^{-3} , resp. The data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication No. CCDC-714716.

Crystal Data for Compound 37. $C_{23}H_{36}O_4$, M_r 376.52, orthorhombic, space group $P_2O_{12}O_1$, $a =$ 8.9274(18), $b = 9.1642(18)$, $c = 25.500(5)$, $V = 2086.2(7)$ \mathring{A}^3 , $Z = 4$, $\mu(\text{MoK}_a) = 0.080 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $F(000) =$ 824, $D_c = 1.199$ g/cm³, crystal dimensions: $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.17$ mm. A total of 6333 reflections (2147) unique) were collected using the ω -2 θ scan technique to a maximum 2 θ value of 51°, and 1891 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ were used in the structure determination. Final R and R_w values were 0.0680 and 0.1666, resp. The maximum and minimum peaks in the difference map were 0.257 and -0.259 e \AA^{-3} , resp. The data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication No. CCDC-705231.

The authors are grateful for the financial support to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No. 20772113) and the Doctoral Research Fund of Henan Chinese Medicine.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. C. Butler, S. Hillier, Z. Roberts, F. Dunstan, A. Howard, S. Palmer, Brit. J. Gen. Pract. 2006, 56, 686.
- [2] S. A. Puerto, G. J. Fernandez, L. de J. Castillo, M. Jose, S. Pinoa, P. G. Anguloa, Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2006, 42, 1513.
- [3] M. F. Braña, J. M. Castellano, M. Morán, M. J. Pérez de Vega, X. D. Qian, C. A. Romerdahl, G. Keilhauer, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1995, 30, 235.
- [4] N. Kojima, T. Fushimi, N. Maezaki, T. Tanaka, T. Yamori, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 1637.
- [5] A. El-Galil E. Amr, N. A. Abdel-Latif, M. M. Abdalla, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 373.
- [6] J. H. Ahn, H.-M. Kim, S. H. Jung, S. K. Kang, K. R. Kim, S. D. Rhee, S.-D. Yang, H. G. Cheon, S. S. Kim, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 4461.
- [7] P. Cui, T. L. Macdonald, M. Chen, J. L. Nadler, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 3401.
- [8] A. R. Renslo, G. W. Luehr, S. Lam, N. E. Westlund, M. Gómez, C. J. Hackbarth, D. V. Patel, M. F. Gordeev, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 3475.
- [9] E. Aiello, S. Aiello, F. Mingoia, A. Bacchi, C. Pelizzi, C. Musiu, M. G. Setzu, A. Pani, P. La Colla, M. E. Marongiu, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2000, 8, 2719.
- [10] A. D. Kinghorn, D. D. Soejarto, N. P. D. Nanayakkara, C. M. Compadre, H. C. Makapugay, J. M. Hovanec-Brown, P. J. Medon, S. K. Kamath, J. Nat. Prod. 1984, 47, 439.
- [11] M. S. Melis, *J. Nat. Prod.* **1992**, 55, 688.
- [12] K. Yasukawa, S. Kitanaka, S. Seo, Biol. Pharm. Bull. 2002, 25, 1488.
- [13] S.-F. Chang, L.-M. Yang, C.-H. Lo, J.-H. Liaw, L.-H. Wang, S.-J. Lin, J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 87.
- [14] J. C. Liu, P. F. Kao, M. H. Hsieh, Y. J. Chen, P. Chan, Acta Cardiol. Sin. 2001, 17, 133.
- [15] D. Xu, S. Zhang, D. Foster Jr., J. Wang, Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol. 2007, 34, 488.
- [16] K.-L. Wong, J.-W. Lin, J.-C. Liu, H.-Y. Yang, P.-F. Kao, C.-H. Chen, S.-H. Loh, W.-T. Chiu, T.-H. Cheng, J.-G. Lin, H.-J. Hong, Pharmacology 2006, 76, 163.
- [17] A. Roy, F. G. Roberts, P. R. Wilderman, K. Zhou, R. J. Peters, R. M. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12453.
- [18] L.-H. Lin, L.-W. Lee, S.-Y. Sheu, P.-Y. Lin, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2004, 52, 1117.
- [19] Y. Wu, J.-H. Yang, G.-F. Dai, C.-J. Liu, G.-Q. Tian, X.-Y. Ma, J.-C. Tao, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2009, 17, 1464.
- [20] Y. Wu, G.-F. Dai, J.-H. Yang, Y.-X. Zhang, Y. Zhu, J.-C. Tao, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 1818.
- [21] X. F. Liu, X. Huang, H. S. Xu, Wuhandaxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue Ban) 1994, 2, 74.
- [22] V. Kumar, N. Rani, P. Aggarwal, V. K. Sanna, A. T. Singh, M. Jaggi, N. Joshi, P. K. Sharma, R. Irchhaiya, A. C. Burman, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 5058.
- [23] T. A. Smith, L. N. Thatcher, A. Coop, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 5175.
- [24] V. Barbieri, M. G. Ferlin, Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8289.
- [25] V. G. Nenajdenko, E. P. Zakurdaev, E. V. Prusov, E. S. Balenkova, Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 11719.
- [26] J. C. Tao, G. Q. Tian, Y. B. Zhang, Y. Q. Fu, G. F. Dai, Y. Wu, Chin. Chem. Lett. 2005, 16, 1441.
- [27] V. A. Al'fonsov, G. A. Bakaleinik, A. T. Gubaidullin, V. E. Kataev, G. I. Kovylyaeva, Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 2000, 70, 953.
- [28] G. Schneider, L. Hackler, J. Wölfling, S. Bottka, P. Sohár, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1989, 263.
- [29] T. L. B. Boivin, *Tetrahedron* **1987**, 43, 3309.
- [30] D. J. Ager, M. B. East, Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 5683.
- [31] L. F. Tietze, C. Schneider, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 2476.
- [32] B. B. Snider, H. Lin, B. M. Foxman, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6442.
- [33] É. Frank, J. Wölfling, B. Aukszi, V. König, T. R. Schneider, G. Schneider, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 6843.
- [34] A. Roy, S. Sahabuddin, B. Achari, S. B. Mandal, Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 365.
- [35] L. Emmanuvel, T. M. A. Shaikh, A. Sudalai, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5071.

Received December 2, 2009